Classification Physics Abstracts 5.250 - 5.280

QUASI RESONANT COLLISIONAL EXCITATION TRANSFER BETWEEN ZEEMAN SUBLEVELS IN HIGH MAGNETIC FIELDS

J.-C. GAY and W. B. SCHNEIDER (*)

Laboratoire de Spectroscopie Hertzienne de l'ENS (**) et Université Paris VII 4, place Jussieu, Tour 12, 1^{er} étage, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, France

(Reçu le 4 avril 1975, accepté le 6 mai 1975)

Résumé. — La décroissance du taux de transfert entre sous-niveaux Zeeman du niveau 6 ${}^{3}P_{1}$ en champ magnétique intense est étudiée dans le cas des collisions Hg*-Hg et comparée à la théorie. Les résultats de l'étude préliminaire dans une gamme de champ de 8 teslas des collisions Hg*-gaz rares sont également donnés.

Abstract. — Experimental evidence of the decrease of the Hg-Hg^{*} collisional transfer rate between Zeeman sublevels of the 6 ${}^{3}P_{1}$ state with varying magnetic fields (up to 80 kG) is reported and compared with theory. Preliminary results on Hg^{*}-rare gas collisions are also given for a field of 80 kG.

1. Introduction. — The excitation transfer between levels of atoms or molecules by collisions at thermal energies is of great interest especially when it is possible to vary the energy difference between these levels. Unfortunately, in most of the experimental situations it is not possible to achieve this variation without changing the atomic species under consideration (e. g. alkali atoms and transfer between fine structure levels) and under these conditions, the potential energy also changes. A powerful method permitting a continuous variation of the energy difference between the levels can be achieved by using magnetic fields and studying the collisional transfer between Zeeman sublevels. This transfer becomes weaker when the Zeeman splitting of the levels ΔE is greater than \hbar divided by τ_c the mean duration of the collision. This suggests the definition of a critical value for the field, such that $\Delta E.\tau_{c} \approx \hbar$, which roughly characterizes the decrease of the transfer rates with the field. This critical field value is about 10 kG for Hg*-Hg resonant collisions in the 6 ${}^{3}P_{1}$ state and about 30 kG for Hg*-Xe non resonant collisions (1). In the following, we

(1) The critical field value is defined with $\tau_c = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}} v^{-1}$ where

report the experimental study of Hg*-Hg collisions [1] and preliminary results on Hg*-rare gas collisions.

2. Experimental. — The experiments are performed on the 6 ${}^{3}P_{1}$ state of 198 Hg (purity better than 99.7 %). The resonance cell filled with this isotope is placed at the center of a superconductive magnet capable of producing fields up to 8 teslas. A 198 Hg R. F. discharge lamp in zero field is used to excite the m = 0 Zeeman sublevel which is not shifted by the field. The σ and π fluorescence intensities are detected at right angles to the excitation direction (Fig. 1). The polarizers are placed close to the cell in order to suppress depolariza-



FIG. 1. — Geometrical arrangement of the beams and variations with the field of I_{σ}/I_{π} at various mercury densities (N in cm⁻³).

^(*) On leave from Fachbereich Physik, Universität Marburg/L. (**) Laboratoire associé nº 18.

 $[\]sigma$ is the cross section and v the mean relative velocity. But fields several times greater than the critical field as defined above are needed to observe significant variations of the transfer rates.

tion effects by optics (mirrors, lenses and flexible light guides). Furthermore, slits are used to reduce the angular aperture of the beams and the directly detected excitation light. The σ and π fluorescence intensities are detected by means of a magnetic field-shielded photomultiplier and measured with a digital voltmeter. The vapour pressure in the cell is determined by the temperature of its side arm. During measurements the stability of the lamp is monitored with a photocell.

We can insert various mercury absorption chambers filled with pure isotopes (purity better than 99.6 %) into the excitation and the detection beams. A ¹⁹⁸Hg filter is used to absorb the small amount of π light which is mixed with the σ light because of the imperfections of the detection polarizer. We have also verified that there is no direct excitation of the σ components of ¹⁹⁸Hg in the cell by isotopes at low concentration in the lamp or by a possible continuous spectra emitted by it. So the σ signal we record is really due to the collisional transfer between the m = 0 and $m = \pm 1$ Zeeman sublevels of the atoms contained in the cell.

3. Theoretical interpretation of the signals. — The stationary solution of the rate equation for the populations of the $m = \pm 1(\rho_{\sigma})$ and $m = 0(\rho_{\pi})$ Zeeman sublevels is given by :

$$\dot{\rho}_{\sigma} = 0 = - \left(\Gamma^{\sigma} + g^{\sigma} + g\right)\rho_{\sigma} + \left(g^{\sigma} + g\right)\rho_{\pi}$$

 Γ^{σ} represents the inverse of the imprisonment time of the σ photons in the cell, g^{σ} the transfer rate between the m = 0 and $m = \pm 1$ sublevels due to Hg*-Hg resonant collisions linearly depending on the mercury atom density N and g the transfer rate due to non resonant Hg*-rare gas collisions (depending on rare gas pressure).

Hence the stationary values of ρ_{σ} and ρ_{π} are such that :

$$\frac{\rho_{\sigma}}{\rho_{\pi}} = \frac{g^{\sigma} + g}{\Gamma^{\sigma} + g^{\sigma} + g} \,. \tag{1}$$

In first approximation we assume that the ratio I_{σ}/I_{π} of the σ and π fluorescence light can be expressed [2]

$$\rho = \frac{I_{\sigma}}{I_{\pi}} = \lambda(N) \frac{\rho_{\sigma}}{\rho_{\pi}}$$
(2)

where $\lambda(N)$ depends on the width of the excitation profile, mercury density, the spatial excitation distribution in the cell, and the sizes of the slits and the cell. $\lambda(N)$ does not depend on H in our experimental conditions.

As multiple scattering is a non-local process, the expressions (1) and (2) involve several approximations but they are justified in the density range in which the experiments were performed.

4. Variations of the collisional transfer rate with the field in Hg*-Hg resonant collisions. — The experiments are performed under the conditions $\Gamma^{\sigma} \ge g^{\sigma}$ (mercury density between 10^{13} and 2×10^{13} cm⁻³) and $g \simeq 0$ (pure ¹⁹⁸Hg filled cells). Eq. (2) becomes

$$\rho \simeq \frac{\lambda(N)}{\Gamma^{\sigma}(N)} g^{\sigma} . \tag{3}$$

The ratio of the intensities is therefore proportional to the collisional transfer rate g^{σ} between the m = 0 and $m = \pm 1$ Zeeman sublevels and to $\lambda(N)/\Gamma^{\sigma}(N)$ which depends on N because of the multiple scattering process.

The measured field dependence of the ratio I_{σ}/I_{π} at various mercury densities, is given on figure 1 and reveals a very important decrease of ρ with the field. This is due to the decrease of the collisional transfer rate g^{σ} as the energy difference between the levels is increased.

This has been carefully verified since it is possible to imagine some other explanation connected with the lack of homogeneity of the field. If indeed the variations of the field between two successive absorptions of a photon in two different parts of the cell are of the order of magnitude of the Doppler width, then the probability of reabsorption of the photon will be decreased. This is clearly impossible for π but not for σ photons and the imprisonment time of the σ photons may decrease as the field is increased. Such an interpretation has been invalidated using several methods which will be reported later [3]. But such an effect can impose severe restrictions in higher fields or worse homogeneity conditions [3].

In order to compare the experimental variations of g^{σ} with theoretical investigations [4], we plot in figure 2 the values of $\rho(H)/\rho(5)$ against the field at



various mercury densities ($\rho(5)$ is the value taken at H = 5 kG). It is not possible to use smaller values of the field to normalize the results because of : a) the change in multiple scattering when the Zeeman splitting is smaller than the Doppler width (the σ and π photons are no longer diffused independently in these conditions, formula (2) breaks down and the experimental results for $H \leq 2$ kG cannot be compared with those obtained in higher fields);

b) the necessity to avoid all the effects connected with direct excitation of the $m = \pm 1$ levels by photons belonging to the wings of the excitation profile, or possible anomalous dispersion effects. Such effects possibly cause the slightly high values of $\rho(H)/\rho(5)$ between 1 and 3 kG.

As $\rho(H)/\rho(5)$ is just $g^{\sigma}(H)/g^{\sigma}(5)$ (eq. (3)), it does not theoretically depend on N which seems well verified in figure 2 within the experimental uncertainties. Nevertheless, a slight discrepancy appears in high fields for the smallest value of the density. This is due to a small amount of residual gas in the cell, the effect of which is to create an additional transfer between the Zeeman sublevels of mercury (eq. (2)). As such a process does not to a good approximation depend on the field strength, the relative contribution to the transfer of Hg*-residual gases collisions will increase with the field, and will no longer be negligible at low mercury densities. Such an effect is almost negligible for densities of about $1.5 \times 10^{13}/\text{cm}^3$ and greater.

The theoretical curves are shown in figure 2 with and without velocity averaging. The hypothesis and outline of the calculations [4] are very similar to those of a previous paper [5]. The fundamental assumption still remains that R^{-3} dipole-dipole interaction is responsible for the transfer, but the relaxation matrix obtained in reference [4] does not have spherical symmetry in Liouville space. The agreement between theory and experiment will be discussed extensively in reference [4]. It seems to confirm, for a large range of applied magnetic field, the validity of the dipole-dipole interaction invoked to account for collisional phenomena between two identical mercury atoms, one of them in the ground $6 {}^{1}S_{0}$ state and the other one in the $6 {}^{3}P_{1}$ state. Similar results are obtained for Na*-Na collisions and will be reported later [6].

During the course of this work, reference [7] by Fuchs *et al.* was published and the interpretation of the results quoted therein warrants some remarks. Firstly the authors use mercury of insufficient isotopic purity which makes it difficult to arrive at a simple interpretation of the results in the range 0-10 kG. Secondly, the experimental conditions mentionned on figure 2 of reference [7] (Xe pressure of 0.75 torr, side arm temperature of 0 °C) are such that the transfer between Zeeman sublevels resulting from Hg*-Hg collisions is about 10^{-3} time smaller than the one due to Hg*-Xe collisions. It therefore seems hardly possible that Hg*-Hg collisions are responsible for the variations between 0-3 T reported in reference [6].

5. Mercury-rare gases collisions in high fields. — The experiments are performed with cells containing various rare gases at different pressures, and at low mercury densities $(10^{11} \text{ at/cm}^3)$. The Hg*-Hg resonant collisions are therefore negligible and multiple scattering of the resonance line is very weak. Γ^{σ} is reduced to Γ (natural width of the 6 ³P₁ state), and λ is equal to 1. Formula (2) becomes

$$\rho = \frac{I_{\sigma}}{I_{\pi}} = \frac{g}{\Gamma + g} \,.$$

For such non resonant collisions, the critical field is between 30 kG and 300 kG, depending on the perturber.

The relative variations of the transfer rate between 0 and 60 kG are plotted in figure 3 as a function of the inverse of the critical field under various experimental conditions. In spite of the dispersion of the measurements figure 3 shows that there is a variation when the



FIG. 3. — Relative variations ($\Delta g = g(0) - g(60)$) of the collisional transfer rates between 0 and 60 kG as a function of the inverse of the critical field h_c (reduced to that of Xenon).

perturber is Xe or Kr but that there is no significant effect for Helium. (The critical field for the latter is about 300 kG.) Higher values of the field are of course necessary to complete these results.

References

- [1] GAY, J.-C., SCHNEIDER, W. and OMONT, A., Fourth I. C. A. P. (Heidelberg) July 1974.
- [2] OMONT, A., Thèse de 3^e cycle (Paris 1961) unpublished.
 OMONT, A. and BROSSEL, J., C. R. Hebd. Séan. Acad. Sci. 252 (1961) 710.
- OMONT, A., C. R. Hebd. Séan. Acad. Sci. 252 (1961) 861.
- [3] GAY, J.-C., SCHNEIDER, W. and OMONT, A., to be published in J. Physique.
- [4] GAY, J.-C., to be published in J. Physique.
- [5] GAY, J.-C., J. Physique 35 (1974) 813.
- [6] SCHNEIDER, W., GAY, J.-C. and OMONT, A., to be published in J. Physique.
- [7] FUCHS, B., HANLE, W., OBERHEIM, W. and SCHARMANN, A., Phys. Lett. 50 (1974) 337.